

Regularity of Weak Solutions for Singular Elliptic Problems Driven by m -Laplace Operator

Gurpreet Singh
 School of Mathematics and Statistics
 University College Dublin,
 Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland
 E-mail: gurpreet.singh@ucdconnect.ie

November 11, 2015

Abstract

We obtain optimal regularity in the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,\tau}(\Omega)$ for the unique solution of

$$-\Delta_m u = K(x)u^{-p} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth and bounded domain, $m > 1$, $p \geq 0$ and $K \in C(\Omega)$ is a positive function that behaves like $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)^{-q}$ for some $q \geq 0$ with $p + q < 2 - \frac{1-p}{m}$.

We obtain that the unique weak solution to the above problem belongs to $W_0^{1,\tau}(\Omega)$ for

$$m \leq \tau < \frac{m+p-1}{p+q-1} \quad \text{if } p+q > 1,$$

and

$$m \leq \tau < \infty \quad \text{if } p+q = 1.$$

The above range of τ is optimal.

1 Introduction and the main result

In this note we are interested in the study of regularity of the weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m u = K(x)u^{-p} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth and bounded domain, $m > 1$, $p \geq 0$ and $K \in C(\Omega)$ is a positive function that behaves like $\delta(x)^{-q}$ for some $q \geq 0$, with $p + q < 2 - \frac{1-p}{m}$ where $\delta(x) := \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$. The existence of a weak solution $u \in W_0^{1,m}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ (if $p + q < 1$) or $u \in W_0^{1,m}(\Omega) \cap C^{0,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ (if $p + q \geq 1$) has been obtained in [1, 5, 6] for some $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$. We are here interested in the optimal $W_0^{1,\tau}(\Omega)$ regularity of the unique solution.

In this note, for any two functions f and g defined on Ω we shall write $f \sim g$ to denote that

$$c_1 \leq \frac{f}{g} \leq c_2 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

for some positive constants c_1 and c_2 .

Our main result in this note is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \geq 0$ and $K : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function such that $K(x) \sim \delta(x)^{-q}$, $p + q < 2 - \frac{1-p}{m}$. Then, the problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m u = K(x)u^{-p} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

has a unique solution $u \in W_0^{1,m}(\Omega)$ and:

- (i) *If $p + q < 1$ then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $u(x) \sim \delta(x)$.*
- (ii) *If $p + q = 1$ then $u \in W_0^{1,\tau}(\Omega) \cap C^{0,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and for all $m \leq \tau < \infty$. Also $u \sim \delta(x) \log^{\frac{1}{m+p-1}}\left(\frac{1}{\delta(x)}\right)$.*
- (iii) *If $p + q > 1$ then $u \in W_0^{1,\tau}(\Omega) \cap C^{0,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and for all $m \leq \tau < \frac{m+p-1}{p+q-1}$. Also $u \sim \delta(x)^{\frac{m-q}{m+p-1}}$.*

2 Auxiliary results

The key result in proving Theorem 1.1 is the following:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $u \in W_0^{1,m}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$, $m > 1$ satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m u = \theta(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\theta : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function.

- (i) *If $\theta(x) \sim \delta(x)^{-a}$ for $a \in \left(1, 2 - \frac{1}{m}\right)$ then $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all $p \in \left[m, \frac{m-1}{a-1}\right)$.*
- (ii) *If $\theta(x) \sim \delta(x)^{-1} \log^{-a}\left(\frac{1}{\delta(x)}\right)$ for $a \in (0, 1)$ then $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all $p \in [m, \infty)$.*

We shall use the following results:

Lemma 2.2. (see [7, Theorem 2]). *Assume $p \geq m > 1$, $u \in W_0^{1,m}(\Omega)$ and $\Phi \in L^{\frac{p}{m-1}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy*

$$\Delta_m u = \operatorname{div}(\Phi) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Then $\nabla u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and there exist $c = c(m, p, N)$ such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{m-1} \leq c \|\Phi\|_{L^{\frac{p}{m-1}}(\Omega)}.$$

Lemma 2.3. (see [2, Lemma 2] or [1, Lemma 4.4]). *There exist $c > 0$ such that if $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset \Omega$, $0 < r \leq 1$ and $v \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$, for some $p > N$, then*

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty(B_r(x_0))} \leq c \left[r \|\Delta v\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r}(x_0))} + \frac{1}{r} \|v\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r}(x_0))} \right]. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.4. (see [9]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded and smooth domain. Then*

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta(x)^{-a} dx < \infty \quad \text{if and only if } a < 1.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $w \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = \theta(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Denote by $\phi > 0$ the first eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ in Ω .

(i) Assume $\theta(x) \sim \delta(x)^{-a}$ for some $a \in \left(1, 2 - \frac{1}{m}\right)$. Then

$$\underline{w}(x) = \frac{1}{c}\phi(x)^{2-a} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{w}(x) = c\phi(x)^{2-a},$$

are respectively sub and supersolutions of (2.3) provided $c > 1$ is large enough, so

$$w(x) \sim \delta(x)^{2-a}. \quad (2.4)$$

We claim that

$$|\nabla w(x)| \leq c\delta(x)^{1-a} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.5)$$

for some $c > 0$. In order to prove this, let $x \in \Omega$ be a fixed point and $r = \frac{\delta(x)}{3}$. Then

$$B_{2r}(x) \subset \Omega_0 = \left\{z \in \Omega : \frac{\delta(x)}{3} \leq \delta(z) \leq \frac{5}{3}\delta(x)\right\} \subset \Omega$$

and by Lemma 2.3 we have:

$$|\nabla w(x)| \leq c \left[r \|\Delta w\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_0)} + \frac{1}{r} \|w\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_0)} \right] \leq c\delta(x)^{1-a} \quad (2.6)$$

which proves (2.5). Using the estimate in (2.5) we deduce that $|\nabla w| \in L^{\frac{p}{m-1}}(\Omega)$ whenever $\delta(x)^{1-a} \in L^{\frac{p}{m-1}}(\Omega)$ and by Lemma 2.4 this is equivalent to $p < \frac{m-1}{a-1}$. Using Lemma 2.2 with $\Phi = \nabla w$, we conclude the proof.

(ii) Assume now $\theta(x) \sim \delta(x)^{-1} \log^{-a} \left(\frac{1}{\delta(x)}\right)$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\underline{w}(x) = \frac{1}{c}\phi(x) \log^{1-a} \left(\frac{A}{\phi(x)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{w}(x) = c\phi(x) \log^{1-a} \left(\frac{A}{\phi(x)}\right),$$

are respectively sub and supersolutions of (2.3), where $A > 1$ is large. So,

$$w(x) \sim \delta(x) \log^{1-a} \left(\frac{A}{\delta(x)}\right). \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2.7) and the similar approach as in part(i), we deduce that

$$|\nabla w(x)| \leq c \log^{1-a} \left(\frac{A}{\delta(x)}\right) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $A > 1 + \text{diam}(\Omega)$. In particular $|\nabla w| \in L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p > 1$ which, by Lemma 2.2 with $\Phi = \nabla w$, yields $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all $p \in [m, \infty)$. This finishes the proof of our result. \square

Remark 2.5. The regularity in Proposition 2.1 is optimal. In order to see this, let (φ, λ) denote the first eigenfunction and eigenvalue of $-\Delta_m$ in Ω , that is

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m \varphi = \lambda |\nabla \varphi|^{m-2} \varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

It is known that $\lambda > 0$, $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and φ has constant sign in Ω . Thus, by normalizing φ we may assume $\varphi > 0$ in Ω and $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$. To show that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ regularity in Proposition 2.1(i) is optimal, let $\theta(x) = -\Delta_m(\varphi^{2-a})$. Some straightforward calculations yield $\theta(x) \sim \varphi(x)^{-a} \sim \delta(x)^{-a}$. Thus, $w = \varphi^{2-a}$ is a solution of (2.1) with $\theta(x)$ given by $-\Delta_m(\varphi^{2-a})$. Clearly $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all $m \leq p < \frac{m-1}{a-1}$, but by Lemma 2.4 one has $w \notin W_0^{1, \frac{m-1}{a-1}}(\Omega)$.

Similarly, to show that regularity $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $m \leq p < \infty$ is optimal we take $\theta(x) = -\Delta_m\left(\varphi \log^{1-a}\left(\frac{A}{\varphi}\right)\right)$ where $A > 1$ is a large constant.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The existence of a solution $u \in W_0^{1,m}(\Omega)$ follows from [6, Theorem 3.2].

- (i) If $p + q < 1$, then by [6, Theorem 2.1], we have $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.
- (ii) If $p + q = 1$, then by [6, Theorem 2.1], we have $u \in W_0^{1,m}(\Omega) \cap C^{0,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Also the behaviour $u \sim \delta(x) \log^{\frac{1}{m+p-1}}\left(\frac{1}{\delta(x)}\right)$ follows in the same way as in [5, Lemma 3.3] by noting that

$$\underline{u}(x) = \frac{1}{c} \varphi(x) \log^{1-a}\left(\frac{A}{\varphi(x)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{u}(x) = c \varphi(x) \log^{1-a}\left(\frac{A}{\varphi(x)}\right),$$

are respectively sub and supersolutions for some large $c > 1$. Using the asymptotic behaviour of u we deduce that

$$\theta(x) = K(x) u^{-p}(x) \sim \delta(x)^{-1} \log^{-\frac{p}{m+p-1}}\left(\frac{1}{\delta(x)}\right).$$

By Proposition 2.1(ii) it follows that $u \in W_0^{1,\tau}(\Omega)$ for all $\tau \in [m, \infty)$.

- (iii) If $p + q > 1$, then by [6, Theorem 2.1], we have $u \in W_0^{1,m}(\Omega) \cap C^{0,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Using the fact that

$$\underline{u}(x) = \frac{1}{c} \varphi(x)^{\frac{m-q}{m+p-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{u}(x) = c \varphi(x)^{\frac{m-q}{m+p-1}},$$

are respectively sub and supersolutions of (1.1) for some large $c > 1$, we easily deduce that

$$u \sim \delta(x)^{\frac{m-q}{m+p-1}}.$$

Then

$$\theta(x) = K(x) u^{-p}(x) \sim \delta(x)^{-\frac{mp+(m-1)q}{m+p-1}},$$

and note that $a = \frac{mp+(m-1)q}{m+p-1} \in \left(1, 2 - \frac{1}{m}\right)$. By Proposition 2.1(ii) it follows that $u \in W_0^{1,\tau}(\Omega)$ for all $\tau \in \left[m, \frac{m+p-1}{p+q-1}\right)$.

References

- [1] B. Bougherara, J. Giacomoni, J. Hernandez, Existence and regularity of weak solutions for singular elliptic problems, *arxiv preprint*.
- [2] M. Crandell, P.H. Rabinowitz, L. Tartar, On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity, *Comm. P.D.E* **2** (1977), 193–222.
- [3] M. Ghergu, Lane-Emden systems with negative exponents, *J.Functional Analysis* **258** (2010), 3295–3318.
- [4] M. Ghergu, A Lane-Emden system with singular data, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect.A* **141** (2011), 1279–1294.
- [5] J. Giacomoni, H. Maagli, P. Sauvy, Existence of compact support solutions for a quasilinear and singular problem, *Differential Integral Equations* **25** (2012), 629–659.
- [6] J. Giacomoni, I. Schindler, P. Takac, Singular quasilinear elliptic systems and Holder regularity, *Adv. Differential Equations* **20** (2015), 259–298.
- [7] T. Iwaniec, Projections onto gradient fields and L^p -estimates for degenerate elliptic operators, *Studia Math.* **75** (1983), 293–312.
- [8] J. Kinnunen, S. Zhou, A local estimate for nonlinear equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Comm. P.D.E* **24** (1999), 2043–2068.
- [9] A.C. Lazer, P.J. McKenna, On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1991), 721–730.